## RULE 63 (37 CFR § 1.63) DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and I believe I am an original, first and joint inventor of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled "DEGRADATION CONTROL OF ENVIRONMENTALLY DEGRADABLE DISPOSABLE MATERIALS", the specification of which including the Preliminary Amendment and Request for Interference Under 37 CFR §1.607 was filed on August 16, 1995, receiving Serial No. 08/515,696, and further identified as Attorney File No. 4042-29-1-1.

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.56(a) and (b) as set forth on the attached sheet indicated Page 4 hereof and which I have read.

I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119/365 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

D 1 D 1 - A 15	antion(s)		Priority	Claimed
Prior Foreign Appli		Day/Month/Year Filed	Yes	<u>No</u>
<u>Number</u>	Country	Day/Mondy Tear Thea	<del></del>	

I hereby claim the benefit under 35 U.S.C. 120/365 of all United States and PCT international applications listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in such prior applications in the manner provided by the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose information material to patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.56(a) and (b) which occurred between the filing date(s) of the prior application(s) and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

mental street side	Application Serial No.	Filing Date	Status: patented, pending, abandoned
THIS APPLN IS A CON OF WHICH IS A CIP OF AND A CIP OF AND A CIP OF AND A CIP OF SAID A CIP OF WHICH IS A CIP OF SAID A CIP OF	07/949,675 07/579,000 07/579,005 07/579,460 07/579,465 07/579,000 07/387,676 07/229,894 07/579,005 07/387,678	09/22/92 09/06/90 09/06/90 09/06/90 09/06/90 07/31/89 08/08/88 09/06/90 07/31/89	PENDING PAT 5,216,050 PAT 5,180,765 PAT 5,252,642 ABN PAT 5,216,050 ABN ABN PAT 5,180,765 ABN

SAID A CIP OF WHICH IS A CIP OF SAID A CIP OF WHICH IS A CIP OF	07/229,896 07/579,460 07/386,844 07/317,391 07/579,465 07/387,670 07/229,939	08/08/88 09/06/90 07/31/89 03/01/89 09/06/90 07/31/89 08/08/88	PAT 5,252,642 ABN ABN ABN ABN ABN ABN
	07/229,939	•	

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

1)	Inventor's Signature	Drald M. Big	Date <u>Frb 23,19</u> 96
----	----------------------	--------------	--------------------------

Inventor's Name (typed):

Donald M. Bigg

Citizenship:

United States of America

Residence:

10

13

 838 Crestway Drive Columbus, Ohio 43235

Post Office Address\*:

Same as Residence

\*Complete Post Office Address in full if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Post Office Address is "Same as Residence."

Inventor's Signature Richarl G. Swirlain Date 7eb. 24, 1996

Inventor's Name (typed):

Richard G. Sinclair

Citizenship:

United States of America

Residence:

985 Kenway Court

Columbus, Ohio 43220

Post Office Address\*:

Same as Residence

<sup>\*</sup>Complete Post Office Address in full if different from Residence, otherwise indicate that the Post Office Address is "Same as Residence."

3)	Inventor's Signature	J. S. Lijih	Date Fet 23, 1996
	Inventor's Name (typed):	Edward S. Lipinsky	
	Citizenship:	United States of America	
	Residence:	6481 Bellbrook Place Worthington, Ohio 43085	
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence	
	*Complete Post Office Address in Post Office Address is "Same as R	full if different from Residence, other esidence."	rwise indicate that the
4)	Inventor's Signature	Litchfield	_ Date <u> </u>
-	Inventor's Name (typed):	John H. Litchfield	
	Citizenship:	United States of America	
(55. kg), 16- kg; kg) ks, kg) kg	Residence:	255 Bryant Avenue Worthington, Ohio 43085	
4 0	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence	
4	*Complète Post Office Address in Post Office Address is "Same as I	full if different from Residence, othe Residence."	rwise indicate that the
	Inventor's Signature	allen-	_ Date <u>Fire 7-6</u> 1992
: <b>43</b> 3	Inventor's Name (typed):	Billy R. Allen	
	Citizenship:	United States of America	
	Residence:	6228 Deerwood Court Greenwood, Indiana 46143	
	Post Office Address*:	Same as Residence	
	*Complete Post Office Address in Post Office Address is "Same as	full if different from Residence, othe Residence."	erwise indicate that the

- A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best (a) served, and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is cancelled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
- (1) prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) the closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
  - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
    - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
    - (ii) Asserting an argument of a patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.\*

\*Note, 37 CFR §1.97(h) states: "The filing of an information disclosure statement shall not be construed to be an admission that the information cited in the statement is, or is considered to be, material to patentability as defined in §1.56(b)."